

Activation of Carbon Dioxide by Divalent Tin Alkoxides Complexes

Lorenzo Ferro, Peter B. Hitchcock, Martyn P. Coles, Hazel Cox, and J. Robin Fulton*

Department of Chemistry, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, U.K.

Received November 12, 2010

A series of terminal tin(II) alkoxides have been synthesized utilizing the bulky β -diketiminate ligand [{N(2,6-_iPr $_2$ C₆H₃)- $C(Me)$ ₂CH] (BDI). The nucleophilicities of these alkoxides have been examined, and unexpected trends were observed. For instance, (BDI)SnOR only reacts with highly activated aliphatic electrophiles such as methyl triflate, but reacts reversibly with carbon dioxide. Both the rate of reaction and the degree of reversibility is dependent upon minor changes in the alkoxide ligand, with the bulkier tert-butoxide ligand displaying slower reactivity than the corresponding isopropyl ligand, although the latter system is a more exergonic reaction. Density Function Theory (DFT) calculations show that the differences in the reversibility of carbon dioxide insertion can be attributed to the ground-state energy differences of tin alkoxides while the rate of reaction is attributed to relative bond strengths of the Sn-O bonds. The mechanism of carbon dioxide insertion is discussed.

Introduction

r2011 American Chemical Society Published on Web 01/13/2011 pubs.acs.org/IC Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 1879–1888 1879 The activation of carbon dioxide by metal complexes has recently received fresh attention because of the obvious implication for carbon dioxide sequestering or converting carbon dioxide into a useful carbon feedstock. Metal complexes used in carbon dioxide activation generally possess nucleophilic ligands such as alkoxides and amides; in these systems, carbon dioxide is inserted into the $M-O$ or $M-N$ bond to form a metallo-alkylcarbonate (or -alkylcarbamate) complex.¹⁻¹¹ In general, most metal alkoxide complexes react reversibly with carbon dioxide, $1,3-9$ presumably because of similar M-O bond strengths of the metal alkoxide and metallo-alkylcarbonate. Metal amide complexes exhibit both reversible¹² and irreversible reactivity with carbon dioxide.^{1,10} The mechanism for carbon dioxide insertion into M-O or M-N bonds has been postulated to occur via a four-membered transition state in which the alkoxide or amide

- (7) Choi, J.-C.; Sakakura, T.; Sako, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3793.
- (8) Mandal, S. K.; Ho, D. M.; Orchin, M. *Organometallics* **1993**, 12, 1714.
(9) Darensbourg, D. J.; Lee, W. Z.; Phelps, A. L.; Guidry, E. *Organome*-
- tallics 2003, 22, 5585.
- (10) Boyd, C. L.; Clot, E.; Guiducci, A. E.; Mountford, P. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2347.

ligand acts as a nucleophile onto the electrophilic carbon atom concurrent with coordination of one of the carbon dioxide oxygen atoms to the metal center and a weakening of the $M-O$ and the C=O bonds (Scheme 1). An open coordination site is unnecessary for this nucleophilic insertion reaction¹³ and the driving force for this reaction is generally thought to be the strong nucleophilicity of the alkoxide or amide ligand.^{1,9,11}

Although tin(IV) alkoxides complexes are known to react with carbon dioxide, $7,14$ the reactivity of the less Lewis acidic tin(II) alkoxides has not been explored outside of lactide polymerization studies.^{15,16} Divalent tin has one of the lowest aqueous acidities of any metal species ($pK_a = 2$),^{17,18} significantly lower than what is predicted based upon electrostatic parameters. As such, the resultant tin hydroxide species is very weakly basic and should not exhibit nucleophilic behavior. As the reactivity of alkoxides is similar to that of hydroxides, divalent tin alkoxides should also be very weakly basic and non-nucleophilic.

We have recently synthesized a series of monomeric divalent lead alkoxides complexes utilizing the bulky β -diketiminate monoanionic ligand $\left[\{\text{N}(2,6\text{-}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3) \text{C}(\text{Me})\}\text{2CH}\right]$ ⁻ (BDI) to stabilize the low-coordinate complexes.^{4,19} As with divalent

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: j.r.fulton@ sussex.ac.uk.

⁽¹⁾ Simpson, R. D.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 1992, 11, 4306. (2) Darensbourg, D. J.; Sanchez, K. M.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem.

Soc. 1987, 109, 290.

⁽³⁾ Darensbourg, D. J.; Sanchez, K. M.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 7094.
(4) Tam, E. C. Y.; Johnstone, N. C.; Ferro, L.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Fulton,

J. R. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 8971.

⁽⁵⁾ Tsuda, T.; Saegusa, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1972**, 11, 2561.
(6) Chisholm, M. H.; Cotton, F. A.; Extine, M. W.; Reichert, W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1727.

⁽¹¹⁾ Brombacher, H.; Vahrenkamp, H. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6042.

⁽¹²⁾ McCowan, C. S.; Groy, T. L.; Caudle,M. T.Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 1120.

⁽¹³⁾ Darensbourg, D. J.; Mueller, B. L.; Bischoff, C. J.; Chojnacki, S. S.; Reibenspies, J. H. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 2418.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Kizlink, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1993, 58, 1399.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Dove, A. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Marshall, E. L.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2001, 283.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Dove, A. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Marshall, E. L.; Rzepa, H. S.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9834.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Burgess, J. Metal Ions in Solution; Ellis Horwood Ltd: Chichester, U.K., 1978.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Cox, H.; Stace, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3939.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Fulton, J. R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Johnstone, N. C.; Tam, E. C. Y. Dalton Trans. 2007, 3360.

Scheme 1. Insertion of Carbon Dioxide into a M-O Bond via a Four-Membered Transition State. R is Either an Aliphatic or Aromatic Group

$$
L_nM-OR + CO_2 \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} L_nM- Q^R \\ \vdots \\ Q^C-C^C \\ \vdots \\ Q^C \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \longrightarrow L_nM_{Q^C}C_{OR}
$$

tin, divalent lead has a low aqueous acidity of 7.2^{17} As such, lead hydroxides, and by analogy, lead alkoxides, should only be weakly nucleophilic. Our (BDI)PbOR ($R = iPr$, sBu, and tBu) complexes displayed only sluggish reactivity with methyl iodide, suggesting that the lead alkoxides are non-nucleophilic. However, these lead alkoxide complexes do react readily and reversibly with carbon dioxide to form lead-alkylcarbonate complexes. Both the rate of reaction as well as the degree of reversibility was found to be dependent upon the alkoxide substituent. For instance, when $(B\overline{D}I)PbO'Pr$ was treated with carbon dioxide, quantitative formation of the corresponding carbonate was observed. In contrast, when the alkoxide substituent was tert-butoxide, (BDI)PbO'Bu, the carbonate was only observed in solution and application of reduced pressure resulted in the reformation of the alkoxide. Although our investigations of the lead system allowed for a qualitative study on these observations, a more quantitative examination was thwarted by decomposition of the tert-butyl carbonate, preventing accurate equilibrium measurements. Fortunately, the isostructural tin system has similar, but slower, reactivity patterns to that of the lead alkoxides; as such, we report the results of the thermodynamic and computational studies for the insertion of carbon dioxide into a Sn(II)-O bond, as well as factors behind the apparent non-nucleophilicity of these group 14 alkoxide complexes.

Results

Synthesis and Reactivity. The synthesis of tin isopropoxide (BDI)SnO^{*i*}Pr (2a) has been reported elsewhere.¹⁵ Treatment of a THF solution of (BDI)SnCl(1) with KO'Bu and KO^tBu affords tin alkoxides (BDI)SnO^sBu (2b) and $(BDI)SnO^tBu (2c)$, respectively, after three days at room temperature (eq 1). The X-ray crystal structures were determined for 2b and 2c; Figure 1 shows the ORTEP diagrams of sec-butoxide 2b and tert-butoxide 2c. Selected bond lengths and angles for 2a, 2b, and 2c are reported in Table 1 and data collection parameters for 2b and 2c are given in Table 2. Analogous to the solid-state structures reported for 2a, as well as the isostructural lead compounds, a pyramidal ligand arrangement is observed around the tin metal center. This geometry is presumably a result of the relativistic contraction of the 5s tin orbital, resulting in minimal hybridization of the s and p orbitals, thus producing a stereochemically active lone pair.20,21 The alkoxy group in the solid state structure of 2a, 2b, and 2c points away from the BDI-Sn core and, in contrast to the (BDI)- SnCl precursor, the Sn and alkoxide ligand lie on opposite sides of the BDI backbone N_2C_3 plane. Only minor variations are observed between the tin alkoxide complexes, such as an increase in the Sn-O bond length with the increasing bulk of the alkoxy ligand, and a very slight increase of pyramidalization around the tin metal center with increasing bulk of the alkoxy ligand.

$$
\sum_{A \upharpoonright C_1}^{Ar} S_n
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_1
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_2
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_3
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_4
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_5
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_5
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_7
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_8
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_9
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_8
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_9
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_8
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_9
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_8
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_9
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_8
$$
\n
$$
A \upharpoonright C_9
$$

The reactivity of tin alkoxides 2a, 2b, and 2c with aliphatic electrophiles was examined. No reactivity was observed between the tin alkoxides and methyl iodide, even at elevated temperatures. This is in contrast to the apparent nucleophilic reactivity observed with the isostructural lead alkoxides complexes; formation of (BDI)- PbI and the corresponding methyl ether was observed upon heating a solution of (BDI)PbOR with methyl iodide.⁴ In addition, this lack of reactivity is also in contrast to transition metal alkoxide complexes in which facile reactivity with aliphatic electrophiles is observed.^{11,22} Addition of a more reactive electrophile, methyl triflate, to 2a, 2b, and 2c does result in apparent nucleophilic behavior of the alkoxides as the known (BDI)SnOTf complex (3) is formed along with the corresponding methyl ether (eq 2).²³ Interestingly, the half-life for this reaction is dependent upon the alkoxide group, with the tin isopropoxide 2a proving to be the most reactive ($t_{1/2} = 8$ min), tin sec-butoxide 2b to be slightly slower ($t_{1/2} = 15$ min) and the tin *tert*-butoxide 2c to be the most sluggish ($t_{1/2} = 150$ min).

$$
\sum_{A_r} \sum_{N=1}^{Ar} \sum_{OR}^{S_n} + \text{MeOff} \underbrace{\frac{C_6 D_6}{RT}}_{- \text{MeOR}} \sum_{A_r}^{Ar} \underbrace{N}_{A_r}^{Ar} \tag{2}
$$

In contrast to aliphatic electrophiles, compounds 2a, 2b, and 2c readily react with unsaturated electrophiles. Although addition of CS_2 and phenylisocyanate to the tin alkoxide complexes gave intractable product mixtures, treatment of isopropoxide 2a with maleic anhydride results in ring opened product $(BDI)SnO₂CCHCHCO₂'Pr 4$ in 87% yield (eq 3). The X-ray crystal structure was determined (Figure 2); the bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table 3 and data collection parameters are listed in Table 2. Although this reactivity is known for transition metal alkoxides this is a rare example a structurally characterized terminal metal maleate complex.^{24,25} The unit cell consists of two independent molecules that differ in the conformation of the maleate ligand. In both molecules, the metal center and the maleate ligand lie on the same side of the N_2C_3 backbone plane and the ligand bond angles around the metal center are more acute than in the alkoxide examples. The Sn-O bond length is longer than the alkoxide analogues, potentially due to a stabilizing $Sn \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$ interaction

⁽²⁰⁾ Chen, M.; Fulton, J. R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Johnstone, N. C.; Lappert, M. F.; Protchenko, A. V. Dalton Trans. 2007, 2770.

⁽²¹⁾ For a good description of this hybridization, see reference 16.

⁽²²⁾ Fulton, J. R.; Holland, A. W.; Fox, D. J.; Bergman, R. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 44.

⁽²³⁾ Ding, Y.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Power, P. P. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1190.

⁽²⁴⁾ Cuesta, L.; Hevia, E.; Morales, D.; Perez, J.; Riera, L.; Miguel, D. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1717.

(25) Fulton, J. R.; Sklenak, S.; Bouwkamp, M. W.; Bergman, R. G.

J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 4722.

Figure 1. ORTEP diagrams of (BDI)SnO⁷Bu 2b (left) and (BDI)SnO⁷Bu 2c (right) with H atoms omitted and BDI aryl groups C atoms minimized for
clarity: ellinsoid probability shown at 30% clarity; ellipsoid probability shown at 30%.

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (A) and Angles (deg) for Compounds 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d

	(BDI)SnO ^{<i>i</i>} Pr $(2a)^a$	(BDI)SnO ^s Bu(2b)	(BDI)SnO'Bu(2c)	(BDI)SnO ^F (2d)	
$Sn-O$	2.000(5)	2.013(3)	2.0179(16)	2.110(2)	
$Sn-N(1)$	2.206(4)	2.202(3)	2.2015(19)	2.1837(16)	
$Sn-N(2)$	2.208(4)	2.202(3)	2.2100(19)	2.1837(16)	
$O - C(30)$	1.418	1.442(7)	1.419(3)	1.360(3)	
$N(1) - Sn - N(2)$	83.6(2)	82.79(11)	83.02(7)	83.53(8)	
$N(1) - Sn - O(1)$	94.1(2)	94.08(12)	92.66(7)	93.65(6)	
$N(2) - Sn - O(1)$	95.2(2)	94.29(12)	93.89(7)	93.65(6)	
$Sn-O-C(30)^c$	118.58	118.1(3)	122.40(16)	128.97(18)	
$Sn-NCCCN$ plane	0.938	0.974	0.990	1.056	
sum of angles around Sn	272.9	271.16	269.57	270.83	
$DP(\%)^b$	97	99	100	99	

^a See ref 14. ^b Degree of pyramidalization, DP (%) = (360 - [sum of angles])/0.9. ^c C(16) for 2d

of 2.954 \AA (molecule A) and 2.898 \AA (molecule B), which are inside the combined Sn-O van der Waals radii of $3.69 \text{ Å}.$

All three tin alkoxides react with carbon dioxide to give the carbonate insertion product (BDI)SnOCO₂R (5a-5c) (Scheme 2). Although the facile reversibility of this reaction has prevented any solid-state characterization of the tin alkylcarbonates 5, IR spectroscopy (CCl_4) showed the characteristic solution phase carbonyl stretching frequencies at 1621 cm⁻¹. In addition, the ¹³C NMR spectrum of all three products reveals a resonance at δ 158.7 (5a), 158.8 (5b), and 158.9 (5c) ppm, indicative of a carbonate carbon.^{4,8,26} Addition of ¹³CO₂ to all of the alkyl carbonates

results in an increase of the ¹³C NMR carbonate resonance. Further conformation of the nature of the product was given by treatment of tin chloride 1 with the potassium salt of monoalkyl carbonate (KO₂COR, $R =$ ^sBu, 'Bu), which produced a mixture of tin alkoxide 2 and tin carbonate 5. Although $KO₂COR$ does revert to KOR and $CO₂$, this latter reaction only happens at elevated temperatures.

Interestingly, and similar to the lead alkoxide system, the rate of reaction between 2 and $CO₂$, as well as the observed equilibria between alkoxide 2 , $CO₂$ and metalloalkylcarbonate 5 is dependent upon the nature of the alkyl group on the alkoxide ligand. For instance, the $t_{1/2}$ for the reaction between isopropoxide 2a or sec-butoxide 2b with 1 atm of CO_2 is ≤ 10 min, and complete conversion to the metallo-alkylcarbonates was observed, but the reaction between *tert*-butoxide 2c with 1 atm of $CO₂$ is significantly slower and only goes to 74% completion after one week at room temperature.

Equilibrium Studies. To further understand the influence of the alkyl group on the reaction, we investigated both the equilibria formed between the reactants (2 and $CO₂$) and products (5), as well as the rate of reaction between the alkoxides and carbon dioxide. These studies

⁽²⁶⁾ Campora, J.; Matas, I.; Palma, P.; Alvarez, E.; Graiff, C.; Tiripicchio, A. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3840.

^aThe isobutyl group attached to O is disordered over two partially overlapping orientations with the C30 and C31 alternative positions resolved, and was included with isotropic C atoms. ^bThe molecule lies on a mirror plane with the alkoxide group disordered over two positions. ^cThere are two independent molecules in the unit cell that differ in the conformation of the maleate ligand.

Figure 2. ORTEP diagrams of 4 showing molecule A (left) and molecule B (right) with H atoms omitted for clarity; ellipsoid probability shown at 30%.

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for Compound ⁴

molecule A		molecule B				
$Sn-O(1)$	2.1489(16)	$Sn(1b) - O(1b)$	2.1534(16)			
$Sn-N(1)$	2.1812(17)	$Sn(1b) - N(1b)$	2.1866(17)			
$Sn-N(2)$	2.1784(18)	$Sn(1b) - N(2b)$	2.1851(18)			
$Sn-O(2)$	2.898	$Sn(1b) - O(2b)$	2.954			
$N(1) - Sn - N(2)$	84.88(7)	$N(1b) - Sn(1b) - N(2b)$	86.09(7)			
$O(1) - Sn - N(1)$	87.32(7)	$O(1b) - Sn(1b) - N(1b)$	88.78(6)			
$O(1) - Sn - N(2)$	87.90(7)	$O(1b) - Sn(1b) - N(2b)$	86.09(7)			
sum of angles	260.1	sum of angles	260.96			
DP $(\frac{9}{0})^a$	111	$DP(%)^a$	110			

^{*a*} Degree of pyramidalization, DP (%) = (360 - [sum of angles]/0.9).

are complicated by an additional equilibria between gas phase and solution phase $CO₂$, which was partially overcome by flooding the reaction with carbon dioxide (1 atm), allowing us to maintain a steady $CO₂$ concentration and

calculate the mole fraction (χ_{CO2}) solubility corrected to a partial pressure of 1.013 bar.

At 298 K and 1 atm of CO₂, the ¹H NMR spectrum shows that the tin isopropoxide 2a is completely converted to the corresponding carbonate 5a. However, at 313 K, the conversion is only 92%. As such, we used this temperature to perform our comparative equilibrium measurements. Table 4 shows the ratio of 2 and 5 at 313 K, and the calculated K_{eq} and ΔG° for each system. Although the apparent equilibrium favors the reactants at elevated temperatures, we are unfortunately limited as to a "usable" temperature range due to lack of carbon dioxide solubility data outside of the $283 - 313$ K temperature range at barometric pressures.²⁷ The equilibria between *tert*-butoxide $2c$ and metallo-alkylcarbonate 5c was measured at four different temperatures and the ΔH° (-13.8 kcal mol⁻¹), ΔS° $(-39.6 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$, and $\Delta G^{\circ}_{298}(-2.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ were calculated for the insertion of $CO₂$ into the Sn-OtBu bond. As there was no measurable amount of isopropoxide

⁽²⁷⁾ Fogg, P. G. T.; Gerrard, W. In Solubility of Gases in Liquids; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, England, 1991, p 249.

Table 4. Ratio of Alkoxide 2 to Carbonate 5, $K_{eq} \Delta G^{\circ}_{313}$ (kcal mol⁻¹), and Relative Time to Equilibrium (*t*₁ ...) for the Equilibrium between 2/CO₂ and 5^{*d*} Relative Time to Equilibrium (t_{equil}) for the Equilibrium between $2/CO_2$ and 5^a

^a All measurements were performed in C_6D_6 at 313 K using 36.4 mM alkoxide in a sealed NMR tube with a 50 cm^3 head-space (to maintain a steady CO_2 concentration). The calculated CO_2 concentration at this temperature is 0.091 M.

or sec-butoxide at room temperature, the ΔH° and ΔS° was not determined for these latter systems.

Although we were able to flood with carbon dioxide to obtain accurate equilibrium measurements, determination of the reaction rate using NMR spectroscopy was impossible because of limited ability to mix the solution, resulting in diffusion of carbon dioxide to be rate influencing. We were able to qualitatively gauge the reaction rate; for the isopropoxide system, equilibrium was established after 3 h at 313K, for the sec-butoxide system, equilibrium was established after 1 d at 313 K, and for the tert-butoxide system, equilibria was established after 3 d at 313K; however, all reactions proceeded faster when the NMR tube was shaken. Unfortunately, UV-vis spectroscopic measurements gave unreliable results, thus thwarting our attempts to examine the relative rates in greater detail.

Nonafluoro-tert-butoxide Tin Complex. The nonafluoro-tert-butoxide tin complex 2d was synthesized in order to gauge the role of steric and electronic factors in the reactivity trends of the tin alkoxides $2a-2c$. The X-ray crystal structure was determined for 2d, and revealed a significantly shorter O-C31 bond length when compared to the per-protio tert-butoxide analogue 2c, presumably due to the electronic withdrawing nature of the fluoroalkyl groups (Figure 3). The $Sn-O$ bond length of 2.110(2) \dot{A} is significantly longer than $2c(2.0179(16)$ Å). This can be attributed to the increased ionic nature of the Sn-O bond, which is also reflected in the shortened $Sn-N$ bond lengths (average 2.1837 A for 2d versus average 2.2058 A for 2c). Potential long-range $Sn \cdots F$ interaction is observed between Sn and F1 (3.204 A), F2 (3.353 A) and F9 (3.433 A) as all of these distances are shorter than the sum of the Sn-F radii of 3.64 A, consistent with other fluoroalkoxide complexes.^{28,29}

Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of $(BDI)SnO'Bu^F$ 2d (fluorine atoms in green) with H atom omitted and BDI aryl groups C atoms minimized green) with H atom omitted and BDI aryl groups C atoms minimized for clarity; ellipsoid probability shown at 30%.

Treatment of $(BDI)SnO'Bu^F$ 2d with methyl triflate produces an intractable mixture of products; no evidence for either (BDI)Sn-OTf or MeOC(CF_3)₃ was found. Addition of maleic anhydride to 2d leads to the protonated ligand LH and no isolable tin containing species. In sharp contrast to the perprotonated alkoxides $2a-2c$, perfluoro 2d does not react with carbon dioxide.

Computational Studies. Density Functional Theory (DFT) studies were performed to further understand the influence of the alkyl group on both the thermodynamics and kinetics for the formation of tin alkylcarbonates from the reaction of tin alkoxides with carbon dioxide. Geometry optimization and single point energy calculations were performed on tin alkoxides 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d and the corresponding alkylcarbonates 5a, 5b, 5c, and 5d using a B3LYP/Lanl2dz/3-21 g level of theory for the geometry optimization of the entire molecule followed by a single point energy calculation using a larger basis set (see Experimental Section).³⁰ The geometry optimization for the alkoxide complexes (2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d) are in good agreement with the experimental results, although the N-Sn-O bond angles are overestimated by $5 - 7\%$ for the nonfluorinated alkoxide complexes $2a-2c$ (see Supporting Information). The ΔG_{298}° for the insertion of carbon dioxide into the tin alkoxide was calculated to be closer to neutrality than our experimental results, with a positive ΔG_{298}° for the sec-butyl and tert-butyl systems and a negative ΔG_{298}° for the isopropyl system. However, the trends in reactivity of the different alkyl groups correspond to what we observe; that is, the ΔG_{298}° was more positive for the tert-butoxide system and the least positive for the isopropoxide system.

An abbreviated molecule in which the N-aryl groups, as well as the backbone methyl groups, are replaced with protons, $[CH{CHCNH}_{2}]^{-}(L^{*})$ was used to give further mechanistic insight into the insertion of carbon dioxide into the tin $-\alpha$ ygen bond.³¹ This smaller ligand was utilized in looking for transition states in the reaction pathway and, because of the minimal steric influence of this ligand, providing information about the different electronic contributions from each of the different alkoxide substituents. The reaction profile for the conversion of L*SnO^{*i*}Pr to the corresponding metallo-alkylcarbonate

⁽²⁸⁾ Reisinger, A.; Trapp, N.; Krossing, I.; et al. Organometallics 2007, 26, 2096.

⁽²⁹⁾ Samuels, J. A.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Streib, W. E.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Zwanziger, J. W.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5093.

⁽³⁰⁾ Frisch, M. J. et al. et. al. Gaussian 03, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

⁽³¹⁾ Liu, C.; Munjanja, L.; Cundari, T. R.; Wilson, A. K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2010, 114, 6207.

Table 5. Calculated Thermodynamic (kcal mol⁻¹) and Kinetic (s^{-1}) Parameters
at the B3I VP/(4333111/433111/431/6.31 g(d p) I evel of Theory, for the Transat the B3LYP/[4333111/433111/43]/6-31g(d,p) Level of Theory, for the Transformation of (BDI)SnOR to (BDI)SnO(CO₂)R and L*SnOR to L*SnO(CO₂)R

		$L = BDI$ $L^* = [CH \{CHCNH\}_2]$					
				$\Delta G^{\circ} \quad \Delta H^{\circ} \quad \Delta E^{\circ} \quad \Delta G^{\circ} \quad \Delta H^{\circ} \quad \Delta E^{\circ} \quad \Delta G^{\ddagger} \quad \Delta H^{\ddagger} \quad \Delta E^{\ddagger}$			
				$\frac{1}{1}$ Pr -0.0 -9.7 -9.5 -4.0 +7.0 -13.7 +10.4 +0.8 +0.8 8 Bu +0.5 -10.8 -10.2 -2.9 -12.4 -12.4 +12.4 2.5 +2.5 t Bu + 1.1 - 10.0 - 9.4 - 0.3 - 12.0 - 11.3 + 15.6 3.6 + 4.2 ${}^{t}BuF + 17.5 + 7.0 + 7.6 + 20.4$ 9.4 +10.1 NA NA NA			

 L^* SnOCO₂^{*i*}Pr is shown in Figure 4, and includes the relative energies of the reactants (Alk-a), transition state (TS-a), intermediate (INT-a) and carbonate (Carb-a).

Because of the low reactivity of the tin alkoxides with aliphatic electrophiles, we initially examined a nonnucleophilic pathway in which carbon dioxide coordinates directly to the metal center, and insertion of carbon dioxide into the Sn-O bond would follow. Although rare, coordination of carbon dioxide to coordinatively unsaturated metal centers has been observed, most commonly in an η^2 -fashion,^{32–35} but end-on coordination has also been found.³⁶ A high energy transition state $(TS^{NP}$, ΔG^{\ddagger} = 56.6 kcal mol⁻¹) was located in which carbon dioxide coordinates in an η^2 -fashion to the tin center of isoproxide Alk-a. This interaction involves the tin 5s lone pair binding to an antibonding orbital located on the carbon dioxide molecule. As a result, there is a predictable decrease in electron density at the metal center and an increase in electron density at the carbon atom (see Figure 5 for Mulliken charge distribution). The $C-O2$ bond length is significantly longer than experimentally derived η^2 -CO₂ complexes, $32-35$ opening up the possibility that this transition state structure is best described as a metallacycle in which there has been an oxidative addition of $C=O$ to the metal center, generating a tin(IV) complex.

Because of both the high-energy nature of this structure and our inability to find a pathway from TS^{NP} to the corresponding carbonate (Carb-a), this transition state was deemed nonproductive. An alternative and more energetically accessible transition state was found arising from an interaction between the oxygen lone pair $(HOMO -1)$ on the tin alkoxide and the LUMO of CO₂ (TS-a, ΔG^{\dagger} = 16.6 kcal mol^{-1}). This four-membered transition state involves formation of a new C-O1 bond, weakening of the C=O2 double bond, formation of a new $Sn-O2$ bond and a weakening of the $Sn-O1$ bond. The $C \cdots O1$ interaction of 1.869 A results in a slight C=O bond elongation of 0.017 A $(C-O2)$ and 0.039 A $(C-O3)$, a decrease in the $O2-C-O3$ bond angle to 151 \degree and an elongation of the Sn $-$ O bond by 0.121 A. The Wiberg bond index (WBI) of the formation C –O1 bond is 0.33, whereas the WBI of the Sn-O1 bond has decreased by $0.19 - 0.37$, indicating that although there has been minimal geometric change within the Sn-O1 bond, there has been significant weakening.

Figure 4. Gibbs free energy diagram (kcal mol⁻¹) and drawings for the reaction of $I * SnOⁱPr$ with $CO₂$ at the B3LYP level. Electronic energy reaction of L*SnOⁱPr with CO₂ at the B3LYP level. Electronic energy values are given in parentheses.

The Sn \cdots O2 interaction of 2.733 A is well within their combined Van der Waal radii of 3.69 Å and is shorter than the long-range interaction observed for maleate 4. Although the WBI of 0.09 shows very little direct electronic interaction, the Mulliken population analysis shows there is a build-up of negative charge on $O2(-0.45$ for the O2 atom in the **TS-a** compared to -0.33 fro the free carbon dioxide). Taken with the increase of positive charge from $+0.71$ to $+0.83$ of the tin atom, there could be an electrostatic Sn-O2 interaction that has not been accounted for in our calculations. Once TS-a is formed, a smooth transition to the metallo-alkylcarbonate intermediate, INT-a, is observed and no intermediates between TS-a and INT-a were calculated during an Intrinsic Reaction Coordinate (IRC) calculations.

INT-a possesses a formal Sn-O2 bond, the $Sn \cdots O1$ distance is 2.913 A, which is shorter than the sum of the combined van der Waals radii (WBI = 0.05) and no interaction exists between Sn and O3. A similar intermediate has also been observed by Wakamatsu and Otera.³⁷ After formation of INT-a, the $Sn-O2$ bond rotates such that the bulky alkyl group projects away from the metal center and a new Sn \cdots O3 interaction is formed (2.728 A, WBI = 0.11). The final product, Carb-a, is analogous to that reported for the lead isopropoxide system, in which there is a long distance $Pb\cdots$ O3 interaction.

The corresponding calculations were performed on the other alkoxide complexes, sec-butoxide Alk-b, tert-butoxide Alk-c and nonafluoro-tert-butoxide Alk-d, and their corresponding metallo-alkylcarbonates Carb-b-d. The ΔG°_{298}

⁽³²⁾ Hirano, M.; Akita, M.; Tani, K.; Kumagai, K.; Kasuga, N. C.; Fukuoka, A.; Komiya, S. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 4206.
(33) Fu, P. F.; Khan, M. A.; Nicholas, K. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**,

⁵⁰⁶, 49.

⁽³⁴⁾ Alvarez, R.; Carmona, E.;Marin, J.M.; Poveda,M. L.; Gutierrezpuebla, E.; Monge, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2286.

⁽³⁵⁾ Gambarotta, S.; Strologo, S.; Floriani, C.; Chiesivilla, A.; Guastini, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6278.

⁽³⁶⁾ Castro-Rodriguez, I.; Nakai, H.; Zakharov, L. N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Meyer, K. Science 2004, 305, 1757. (37) Wakamatsu, K.; Orita, A.; Otera, J. Organometallics 2010, 29, 1290.

Figure 5. Optimized geometries and Mulliken charges for (a) Alk-a, (b) $CO₂$, (c) TS^{NP}, and (d) TS-a. Only Sn, N, O, and carbon dioxide atoms of the model complex are shown for clarity.

and ΔE° for the reactions follow the same trends as the larger systems (Table 4); that is, the isopropyl system as the most negative ΔG°_{298} and ΔE° , followed by the sec-butyl and tert-butyl system, respectively. The fluorinated system has a significantly positive $\Delta G^{\circ}{}_{298}$ and ΔE° , which is in agreement with our experimental results in with the nonafluoro-tert-butoxide 2d doe not react with carbon dioxide. A transition state was located for the sec-butyl system (TS-b) and the tert-butyl system (TS-c); however, no transition state was found for the fluorinate derivative (TS-d).

Discussion

The tin(II) alkoxide complexes $2a-2d$ do not exhibit the standard reactivity as their transition metal counterparts; their lack of reactivity with methyl iodide indicates that the oxygen atom is essentially non-nucleophilic toward anything but very strong electrophiles such as methyl triflate. The notable difference in reactivity *between* the alkoxides $2a-2c$ might be attributable to steric effects; the combination of the bulky β -diketiminate ligand as well as the *tert*-butoxide ligand of 2c results in a more hindered approach to the oxygen atom than the corresponding isopropoxide system 2a. However, there is also a compelling electronic argument as the reactivity trend mirrors the relative basicity of the alkoxide moieties; that is, the greater the basicity, or the greater the pK_a of the conjugate acid, the more reactive the alkoxide.^{38,39} Because the reaction between the tin alkoxides and methyl triflate is irreversible, it is impossible to differentiate between steric and electronic arguments based solely on this reaction.

The activation of carbon dioxide by a seemingly nonnucleophilic metal alkoxide complex is counterintuitive. It has previously been show that the nucleophilicity of the alkoxide ligand drives insertion reactions.¹ Studies on rhenium alkoxide and aryloxide complexes, $frac(CO)_{3}(PMe_{3})_{2}ReOR$, revealed that only the alkoxide $(R=Me)$ reacts with carbon dioxide, yet both alkoxide and aryloxide $(R = 4 \text{MeC}_6H_4)$ react with carbon disulfide. Darensbourg observed that (fac)- (CO) ₃(dppe)MnOMe reacts more readily with carbon dioxide than $(CO)_{3}$ (dppe)MnOCH₂CF₃, a less nucleophilic alkoxide ligand.9 The mechanism of carbon dioxide insertion in this case was postulated to proceed via a four-membered transition state, similar to what we observed in our calculations and have been proposed by others.^{3,9,13,37}

A few transition metal systems have been reported to react with both methyl iodide and carbon dioxide, unfortunately most reports have been vague on the reaction conditions.^{40,41} Vahrenkamp's pyrazolylborate-zinc methoxide complex, $(Tp^{Ph,Me})ZnOMe$, reacts readily with methyl iodide but very slowly with carbon dioxide, in sharp contrast to our results.¹¹ The former reaction is attributed to the nucleophilicity of the zinc-alkoxide. Thus, it can not only be the nucleophilicity of the alkoxide ligand that is governing the reactivity of alkoxides with carbon dioxide (vide infra). Interestingly, trispyrazolborate zinc hydroxides do react readily and reversibly with carbon dioxide, $42,43$ although this could be because of hydrogen-bonding effects.

Another intriguing aspect about our results is the strong dependence on both the reaction rate as well as final equilibrium on minor variations of the alkyl group on the alkoxide ligand. This it true both with the tin and lead systems. The insertion of carbon dioxide into the Sn-O bond to generate metallo-alkylcarbonates is measurably reversible; as such, by using the equilibrium studies as well as the computational studies on the transition state, the factor governing the influence of the alkyl group can be examined. In order to normalize the different alkyl systems onto one scale, a "zeropoint" was set by assuming that the alkyl group has a greater inductive influence on the ground state energy of the alkoxide Alk than the metallo-alkylcarbonate Carb. Electronic influences of the different alkyl groups are apparent upon considering the pK_a 's of aliphatic alcohols;^{39,44} however, these electronic influences are not apparent upon considering either the bond dissociation energies (BDE's) or pK_a 's of the corresponding carboxylic acids,⁴⁵ and only minor variations within experimental error of each other are observed in the BDE's of the corresponding alcohols. As such, the relative energies of our metallo-alkylcarbonates Carb-a-c can be set to "zero" and all other energies can be compared to these metallo-alkylcarbonates (Figure 6).

- (41) Bryndza, H. E.; Tam, W. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1163.
- (42) Ruf, M.; Vahrenkamp, H. Inorg. Chem. 1996, 35, 6571. (43) Looney, A.; Han, R.; McNeill, K.; Parkin, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

 $45, 3295$ (45) Blanksby, S. J.; Ellison, G. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 255.

⁽³⁸⁾ Bryndza, H. E.; Fong, L. K.; Paciello, R. A.; Tam, W.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1444.

⁽³⁹⁾ Brauman, J. I.; Blair, L. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5986.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Park, S.; Rheingold, A. L.; Roundhill, D. M. Organometallics 1991, 10, 615.

¹⁹⁹³, 115, 4690. (44) Olmstead, W. M.; Margolin, Z.; Bordwell, F. G. J. Org. Chem. 1980,

Figure 6. Energy diagram for the interconversion of **Alk** + CO₂ and **Carb** via transition state **TS**. Energies are reported in kcal mol⁻¹. ΔG_f° is the change in Gibbs free energy in the forward sense, ΔG_f^{\ddag} is the activation energy in the forward reaction and ΔG_r^{\ddag} is the activation energy for the reverse reaction.

In the isopropoxide system, the conversion from Alk-a to Carb-a has a relatively low activation barrier and the overall reaction is thermodynamically favored. In contrast, the conversion from Alk-c- to Carb-c in the *tert*-butoxide system is almost thermodynamically neutral and a significantly higher activation barrier is found. Steric arguments cannot fully explain the thermodynamic differences, these must be a consequence of the relative bond dissociation energies (BDE's) of the Sn-OR bond. If it is assumed that the Sn-O2 BDE for the metallo-alkylcarbonates is relatively similar due to reasons previously described, then the BDE of the $Sn-O'Bu$ bond is greater than that of the $Sn-O^sBu$ bond and the $Sn-O^tPr$ bond is the weakest of the three. Thus, in the forward sense of the reaction, in order to achieve the transition state, the energy required is greater for the tert-butoxide system than the isopropoxide system, thus the Sn-O bond of isopropoxide Alk-a must be weaker than the $Sn-O$ bond of *tert*-butoxide Alk-c. Although the activation barriers to the reverse reaction follow a similar pattern in which the *tert*-butoxide system has a greater activation barrier than the isopropoxide system, the difference is not as great and can be attributed to a combination of steric arguments and the differences in the $O1-C$ bond strengths. For the real molecule, (BDI)SnOR, the reverse reaction should be more affected by the sterics of the alkoxide group due to the interactions of both the BDI N-aryl groups and the alkoxide.

Although nonafluoro-tert-butoxide tin complex 2d is nonreactive with carbon dioxide, the calculations show that the postulated carbon dioxide insertion product is significantly higher in energy than just 2d and carbon dioxide. However, a direct comparison with the other alkoxide complexes $2a-2c$ cannot be made because, in contrast to the nonfluorinated derivatives, the pK_a of the fluorinated alcohol and carboxylic acid are heavily influenced by the nearby fluorine atoms.⁴⁶

The contrast between the reactivity of group 14 alkoxides and Vahrenkamp's zinc alkoxides is striking and reveals that it is not just the nucleophilicity of the alkoxide ligand that determines its reactivity with carbon dioxide. Although there are too many variables between group 14 alkoxides and $(Tp^{Ph,Me})ZnOMe$ to make a meaningful comparison, the tin and lead systems can be compared. The higher reactivity of the lead system can be attributed to a combination of a more polarized and weaker Pb-O bond, resulting in a more nucleophilic alkoxide ligand and a more Lewis acidic lead metal center, which forms a more stable four-membered transition state due to a greater interaction with the $CO₂$ oxygen atom. The tin system suffers both from a stronger Sn-O bond, low nucleophilicity of the alkoxide ligand, as well as a smaller Lewis acidity of the metal center, thus requiring a higher activation barrier in its reaction with carbon dioxide.

Conclusions

Tin alkoxide complexes 2 are weakly nucleophilic species that only react with highly reactive aliphatic electrophiles; however, these complexes do react reversibly with carbon dioxide, due to a combination of a weakly nucleophilic alkoxide ligand and a Lewis acidic metal center that can help support the four-membered transition state. The rate of reaction as well as the relative equilibrium between reactants and products is dependent upon the alkoxide ligand. The isopropoxide complex 2a reacts with carbon dioxide faster than the bulkier *tert*-butoxide complex $2c$, and the former reaction has a greater equilibrium constant than the latter. Although the sterics of the alkoxide ligand might play a role in these results, computational studies have shown that there is a significant electronic contribution to both the activation barrier as well as the established equilibrium. If it is assumed that the Sn-O BDE of the metallo-alkylcarbonate 5 complexes are less influenced by the alkyl substituent than the Sn-O BDE of the alkoxide complexes 2, then a relative ordering of the Sn-O BDE can be made, with the tert-butoxide complex 2c possessing the strongest Sn-O BDE, and the isopropoxide complex 2a possessing the weakest Sn-O BDE. Interestingly, the tert-butoxide complex 2c has the longest Sn-O bond and the isopropoxide complex 2a has the shortest Sn-O bond.

Experimental Section

General. All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques or in an inert-atmosphere glovebox. Solvents were dried from the appropriate drying agent, distilled, degassed, and stored over 4 A˚ sieves. (BDI)SnCl and (BDI)SnOⁱPr were prepared according to the literature.^{15,23} Potassium alkoxide salts were prepared by the slow addition of the relevant alcohol (dried and distilled) to a suspension of potassium hydride, except $\text{NaO}^t \text{Bu}^F$ that was prepared by the treatment of a water solution of $(CF_3)_3COH$ with 1eq of NaOH and dried overnight under vacuum over P_2O_5 . Methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate was freshly dried and distilled before use. Carbon dioxide was used as received (Union Carbide, 99.999%), and $\rm{^{13}CO_{2}}$ was 99 atom %. $\rm{^{11}C}$ and $\rm{^{119}Sn}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 400 MHz or Varian 500 MHz spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy chemical shifts are given relative to residual solvent peaks and ¹¹⁹Sn was externally referenced to SnMe4. The UV spectra were recorded in Varian Cary 50 UV-vis spectrophotometer. The data for X-ray structures were collected at 173 K on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer, $k(Mo-K\alpha) = 0.71073$ Å and refined using the SHELXL-97 software package.⁴⁷

 $[CH{(CH₃)CN-2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃}}₂SnOⁱPr]$ (2a). (BDI)SnOiPr was prepared following literature procedures. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were identical to the ones reported earlier.¹⁵

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Arnett, E. M.; Venkatasubramaniam, K. G. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1569.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

UV-vis (benzene): $\lambda_{\text{max}} (\varepsilon, L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ 366 nm (11000). IR $(CCl_4, \nu/cm^{-1})$: 2964 (s), 2925 (s), 1464 (s), 1430, 1359, 1315 (s), 1170, 1101 (s).

 $[CH{ (CH₃)CN-2,6⁻¹Pr₂C₆H₃}₂SnO^sBu] (2b).$ A suspension of KO^s Bu (98 mg, 0.87 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added to a solution of (BDI)SnCl (0.50 g, 0.87 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 d. The solvent was removed under vacuum, the yellow crude product was extracted with toluene and the solution was filtered through Celite. Removal of the volatiles and recrystallization from pentane overnight afforded yellow crystals of (BDI)SnO^sBu (0.42 g, 80%).
¹H NMP (400 MHz C D, 303 K): δ 7.22 (d, $I = 76.2$ H, Λ rH) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 303 K): δ 7.22 (d, $J = 7.6$, 2H, ArH), 7.14 (t, $J = 7.6$, 2H, ArH), 7.07 (d, $J = 7.7$, 2H, ArH), 4.71 (s, 1H, γσ-CH), 3.81 (m, 3H, CHMe₂ + OCH(Me)Et), 3.22 (m, 2H, CHMe₂), 1.55 (s, 3H, NC*Me*), 1.54 (s, 3H, NC*Me*), 1.50 (d, $J =$ 6.1, 3H, CHMe), 1.48 (d, $J = 6.1$, 3H, CHMe), 1.25 (d, $J = 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 1.19 (d, $J = 6.9$, 6H, CHMe), 1.11 (d, $J = 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 0.75 (d, $J = 6.0$, 3H, OCH(Me)Et, 0.46 (t, $J = 7.4$, OCH(Me)CH₂Me). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 164.6 (NCMe), 144.9 (ipso-C), 142.6 (o-C), 141.1 (o-C), 126.2 (p-C), 124.3 (m-C), 123.8 (m-C), 96.3 (γ-CH), 70.7 (OCH(Me)Et), 34.9 (OCH(Me)CH2Me), 28.2 (NCMe), 28.1 (NCMe), 26.6 (CHMe), 26.0 (CHMe), 24.6 (CHMe), 24.4 (CHMe), 24.3 (CHMe), 24.2 (CHMe), 23.1 (OCH(Me)Et), 10.3 (OCH(Me)CH₂Me). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 303 K): δ -181.5. UV-vis (benzene): $λ_{\text{max}} (\varepsilon, L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ 366 nm (12000). IR (Nujol, $ν/\text{cm}^{-1}$): 1554
(s), 1518 (s), 1260 (s), 1098, 1018 (s), 795 (s). IR (CCl₄, $ν/\text{cm}^{-1}$): 2966 (s), 2925 (s), 1463 (s), 1423, 1314 (s), 1172, 1104. Anal. Calcd for C33H50N2OSn: C, 65.03; H, 8.27; N, 4.60. Found: C, 64.96; H, 8.23; N, 4.57.

 $[CH{(CH₃)CN-2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃}₂SnO^tBu]$ (2c). Yellow crystals of (BDI)SnO[']Bu can be obtained in good yield (76%) using a similar methodology as used in the preparation of $(BDI)SnO^sBu$ **2b.** ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 303 K): δ 7.18 (d, $J = 7.6$, 2H, ArH), $7.14-7.06$ (m, $J = 7.6$, $2H$, ArH), 7.03 (d, $J = 7.6$, $2H$, ArH), 4.61 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 3.86-3.71 (m, 2H, CHMe₂), 3.29-3.14 (m, 2H, $CHMe₂$), 1.54 (s, 6H, NCMe), 1.53 (d, $J = 6.9$, 6H, CHMe), 1.28 (d, $J= 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 1.17 (d, $J= 6.9$, 6H, CHMe), 1.12 (d, $J= 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 0.86 (s, 9H, OC(Me)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 303 K): δ 169.4 (NCMe), 165.0 (NCMe), 144.9 (ipso-C), 142.6 (o-C), 140.9 (o-C), 126.1 (p-C), 123.9 (m-C), 123.8 (m-C), 95.5 (γ-CH), 69.4 (OC(Me)3), 34.9 (OC(Me)3), 28.2 (NCMe), 28.2 (NC*Me*), 26.1 (CHMe), 24.4 (CH*Me)*, 24.3 (CH*Me)*, 24.2
(CH*Me*), 23.0 (CH*Me*). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz_; C₆D₆, 303 K): δ $-149.1. \text{ UV} - \text{vis}$ (benzene): $\lambda_{\text{max}} (\varepsilon, \text{L} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ 365 nm (13000). IR (Nujol, v/cm^{-1}): 1555 (s), 1261 (s), 1093, 1018 (s), 939 (s), 796 (s). IR (CCl₄, *v*/cm⁻¹): 2965 (s), 2927 (s), 1463 (s), 1424, 1361, 1171 (w). Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{50}N_2OSn$: C, 65.03; H, 8.27; N, 4.60. Found: C, 64.97; H, 8.32; N, 4.55.

 $[CH{ (CH₃)CN-2,6⁻¹Pr₂C₆H₃}₂ SnOC(CF₃)₃] (2d). A solution$ of NaO^tBu^F (0.14 g, 0.55 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added to a solution of (BDI)SnCl (0.31 g, 0.55 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 d. The solvent was removed under vacuum, the yellow crude product was extracted with toluene and the solution was filtered through Celite. The volatiles were removed and the yellow/green solid was washed with pentane. Recrystallization from THF overnight afforded green crystals of $(BDI)SnO^{t}Bu^{F}$ (0.29 g, 69%). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6, 303 \text{ K})$: δ 7.16 (d, J = 7.6, 2H, ArH), 7.09 (d, J = 7.7, 2H, ArH), 7.03 (d, J = 7.7, 2H, ArH), 4.55 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 3.80 $-$ 3.34 (m, 2H, CHMe₂), 3.16 – 2.76 (m, 2H, CHMe₂), 1.45 (d, J = 5.7, 6H, CHMe), 1.44 (s, 6H, NCMe), 1.23 (d, J = 6.8, 6H, CHMe), 1.10 (d, J = 6.7, 6H, CH*Me*), 1.09 (d, J = 6.5, 6H, CH*Me*).
¹³C{¹H} NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 166.0 (NCMe), 143.8 (ipso-C), 142.2 (o-C), 139.7 (o-C), 127.5 (p-C), 126.8 (m-C), 124.3 (m-C), 96.4 (γ -CH), 67.4 (OC(CF₃)₃), 28.6 (OC(CF₃)₃), 28.3 (NC*Me*), 25.4 (CHMe), 24.6 (CH*Me)*, 24.3 (CH*Me*), 23.0
(CH*Me*), 23.0 (CH*Me*). ¹⁹F NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ $-73.0.$ ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ -256.3. UV-vis

(benzene): λ_{max} (ε , L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) 320 nm (22000). IR (Nujol, v/cm^{-1} : 2024, 1945, 1548, 1518, 1319, 1262 (s), 1233 (s), 1167 (s), 1017, 966 (s), 795. Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₄₁F₉N₂OSn: C, 51.38; H, 5.36; N, 3.63. Found: C, 51.41 ; H, 5.30; N, 3.64.

[CH{(CH₃)CN-2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃}₂SnO(CO)C₂H₂CO₂ⁱPr] (4). A solid mixture of (BDI)SnOⁱPr (250 mg, 0.41 mmol) and maleic anhydride (40 mg, 0.41 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (10 mL) and stirred for 2 h, affording an orange solution. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in the minimum amount of hexane. Storage at -32 °C for 3 days afforded deep red crystals of (BDI)Sn(Ma)ⁱPr (248 mg, 87%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 303 K): δ 7.21 - 6.93 (m, 6H, ArH), 5.96 (d, $J = 11.9$ Hz, 1H, $COCHCHCO₂$, 5.83 (d, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 1H, COCHCHCO₂), 5.09 (sept, 6.0 Hz, 1H, CO_2CHMe_2), 4.91 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 3.62 (sept, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, CHMe₂), 3.04 (sept, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, CHMe₂), 1.59 (s, 6H, NCMe), 1.37 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 6H, CHMe₂), 1.27 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 6H, CH $Me₂$), 1.12 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 6H, CH $Me₂$), 1.07
(d, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 6H, CH $Me₂$), 1.04 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 6H, CH $Me₂$). (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6H, CH Me_2), 1.04 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH Me_2).
¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 170.0 (SnOCO), 169.3 (CO_2 ⁱPr), 165.40 (NCMe), 145.31 (*ipso-C*), 142.43 (*o-C*), 141.27 (o -C), 131.0 (CH=CH), 130.0 (CH=CH), 127.1 (p -C), 124.8 (m-C), 123.7 (m-C), 99.8 (γ-CH), 67.5 (CO₂CHMe₂), 28.7 (NC*Me*), 27.7 (NC*Me*), 26.4 (CHMe), 24.6 (CHMe), 24.1
(CH*Me*), 23.60 (CH*Me*), 21.4 (CH*Me*). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6 , 303 K): δ –374.2. IR (Nujol, v/cm⁻¹): 1735 (s), 1600, 1552, 1524, 1261 (s), 1211, 1172, 1102 (s), 1019 (s), 797(s). Anal. Calcd for C36H50N2O4Sn: C, 62.35; H, 7.27; N, 4.04. Found: C, 62.39; H, 7.15; N, 3.94.

Generation of Metallocarbonates 5a, 5b, and 5c: General Procedure. (BDI)SnOⁱPr (8.5 mg, 0.014 mmol) was dissolved in C_6D_6 (400 μ L) in an NMR tube sealed with a Young's tap. The NMR tube was submerged in a dry ice/ethanol bath, the gas inside the NMR tube was evacuated and $CO₂$ was introduced at a pressure of 1 atm. A pale yellow solution mixture was observed, the reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 48 h and was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. To generate samples for IR spectroscopy, a similar procedure was followed using CCl4 as solvent.

[CH{(CH₃)CN-2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃}₂SnO(CO₂)ⁱPr] (5a). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6, 303 \text{ K})$: δ 7.27–6.95 (m, 6H, ArH), 4.95 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 4.89 (dq, $J = 6.2$, 1H, CO₂CHMe₂), 3.71 (hept, $J = 6.7$, $2H, CHMe₂), 3.07$ (hept, $2H, J = 6.9, CHMe₂), 1.59$ (s, 6H, NCMe), 1.44 (d, $J = 6.7$, 6H, CHMe), 1.22 (d, $J = 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 1.18 (d, $J= 6.3$, 6H, CHMe), 1.16 (d, $J= 7.0$, 6H, CHMe), 1.06 (d, $J= 6.8$, 6H, CO₂CHMe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 165.2 (NCMe), 158.7 (OCO₂), 145.4 (ipso-C), 142.5 (o-C), 141.3 (o-C), 127.1 (p-C), 124.7 (m-C), 123.6 (m-C), 99.7 (γ -CH), 68.5 (OCO₂- $CHMe₂$), 28.7 (OCO₂CHMe₂), 27.7 (NCMe), 26.0 (NCMe), 24.5 (CHMe), 24.1 (CHMe), 23.9 (CHMe), 23.5 (CHMe), 22.0 (CHMe). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ -379.7. UVvis (benzene): λ_{max} 321 nm. IR (CCl₄, ν/cm^{-1}): 3963, 2963 (s), 2927, 2871, 2339 (s), 1718 (w), 1621, 1463, 1437, 1385, 1318.

[CH{(CH₃)CN-2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃}₂SnO(CO₂)^sBu] (5b). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6, 303 \text{ K})$: δ 7.27-7.01 (m, 6H, ArH), 4.93 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 4.72 (m, 1H, CO₂CH(Me)Et), 3.72 (hept, $J = 6.8, 2H$, CHMe₂), 3.06 (m, 2H, CHMe₂), 1.59 (s, 3H, NCMe), 1.58 (s, 3H, NCMe), 1.47 (d, $J = 6.7$, 3H, CHMe), 1.45 (d, $J = 6.7$, 3H, CHMe), 1.27-1.20 (m, 11H, CO₂CH(Me)CH₂Me + CO₂CH- $(Me)CH₂Me+CHMe$, 1.16(d, $J = 6.9$ 6H, CHMe), 1.06 (d, $J = 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 0.85 (t, $J = 7.5$, 3H, CO₂CH(Me)CH₂Me). $J = 6.8$, 6H, CH*Me*), 0.85 (t, $J = 7.5$, 3H, CO₂CH(Me)CH₂*Me*).
¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 167.0 (NCMe), 165.2 (NCMe), 158.8 (OCO₂), 145.4 (ipso-C), 142.5 (o-C), 141.2 (o-C), 127.0 (p-C), 124.7 (m-C), 123.6 (m-C), 99.8 (γ-CH), 73.3 (OCO2C(Me)Et), 29.0 (OCH(Me)CH2Me), 28.7 (NCMe), 27.6 (NCMe), 26.1 (CHMe), 24.5 (CHMe), 24.0 (CHMe), 23.8 (CH*Me*), 23.4 (CH*Me*), 22.9 (CH*Me*), 19.4 (OCH(*Me*)Et), 9.6
(OCH(Me)CH₂*Me*). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ – $379.1. \text{UV} - \text{vis}$ (benzene): λ_{max} 321 nm. IR (CCl₄, ν/cm^{-1}): 2965 (s), 2928, 2869, 2336 (s), 1720 (w), 1621, 1463, 1437, 1385, 1319, 1101.

[CH{(CH₃)CN-2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃}₂SnO(CO₂)^tBu] (5c). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, C_6D_6, 303 \text{ K})$: δ 7.23-7.06 (m, 6H, ArH), 4.89 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 3.68 (hept, $J = 6.8$, 2H, CHMe₂), 3.05 (hept, 2H, $J =$ 6.8, CHMe₂), 1.44 (d, $J = 6.7$, 6H, CHMe), 1.42 (s, 6H, NCMe), 1.18 (d, $J = 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 1.13 (d, $J = 6.8$, 6H, CHMe), 1.02 $(d, J = 7.0, 6H, CHMe)$, 0.87 (s, 9H, OCMe₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{C}_6\text{D}_6, 303 \text{ K})$: δ 167.0 (NCMe), 165.3 (NCMe), 157.9 (OCO2), 145.3 (ipso-C), 142.4 (o-C), 141.3 (o-C), 127.0 (p-C), 124.6 (m-C), 123.6 (m-C), 99.7 (γ-CH), 77.1 (OC(Me)₃), 34.8 $(OC(Me)_3)$, 28.7 (NCMe), 28.0 (NCMe), 27.6 (CHMe), 26.3 (CHMe), 24.4 (CH*Me*), 24.1 (CH*Me*), 23.8 (CH*Me*), 23.4
(CH*Me*). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ –377.4. UV– vis (benzene): λ_{max} 325 nm. IR (CCl₄, ν/cm^{-1}): 2966, 2928, 2870, 2337 (s), 1717 (w), 1621, 1463, 1438, 1386, 1318.

Determination of $t_{1/2}$ for the Reaction of $2a-2c$ with MeOTf: General Procedure. A sealable NMR tube was charged with 1.7×10^{-5} mol of (BDI)SnOR in 0.25 mL in C₆D₆, 1.1 μ L of dichloromethane (1.7 \times 10⁻⁵ mol) and 1.9 μ L of MeOTf (1.7 \times 10⁻⁵ mol) to give a total volume of 0.25 mL. The NMR tube was then placed in the thermostatted probe (298.1 K) of a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. The conversion was monitored by ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectra were acquired in 5 min intervals. In order to ensure accurate integration, a 10s delay between 30 pulses was utilized (number of scans $=$ 4, acquisition time $=$ 4). The $t_{1/2}$ was determined by comparing the γ -CH signal integration of (BDI)SnOR and (BDI)SnOTf, using the DCM peak as standard.

Equilibrium Studies of the Reaction of $2a-2c$ with $CO₂$: **General Procedure.** A 0.45 mL C_6D_6 solution consisting of 1.64×10^{-5} mol of (BDI)SnOR and 2.68×10^{-6} mol of ferrocene was added to a sealable NMR tube with a 50 cm³ headspace. The solution was submerged in a dry ice/ethanol bath, the gas inside the NMR tube was evacuated and $CO₂$ was introduced at a pressure of 1 atm. The reaction mixture was kept at 313.1 K in a thermostatted bath for 72 h and the 2/5 ratio was monitored by comparing the

 γ -CH¹H NMR signal to the internal standard ferrocene. In order to ensure accurate integration, a 10s delay between 30° pulses was utilized (number of scans $= 4$, acquisition time $= 4$).

Computational Details. All the calculations were carried out using density functional theory (DFT) in the Gaussian 03 program.³⁰ Geometry optimization for the full complexes ((BDI)SnOR) was performed at the b3lyp level by using a double-ζ basis set (Lanl2dz) plus a d type polarization function (d exponent 0.183) along with the effective core potential (Lanl2 ECP ⁴⁸ for Sn atoms and 3-21 g for all other atoms. Geometry optimization for the model complexes $(L^{\#S}nOR)$ was performed at the b3lyp level by using a double-ζ basis set (Lanl2dz) plus a d type polarization function (d exponent 0.183) along with the effective core potential (Lanl2 ECP)⁴⁸ for Sn atoms and $6-31+g^*$ for all other atom. Single point calculations were carried on all the found saddle points with the larger basis set [4333111/433111/43] plus two d polarization function (d exponents 0.253 and 0.078) for Sn^{49,50} and 6-31 g(d, p) for all the other atoms. Zero-point vibrational energy corrections were also included. All the transition structures (TS) were located on the potential energy surfaces and verified by one imaginary frequency. Intrinsic reaction coordinates (IRC) calculations confirmed the connectivity of TS with reactants and products.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful for the financial support from the EPSRC (LF, grant no EP/E032575/1).

Supporting Information Available: Cartesian coordinates and total energies of all the structures, basis set information for the large basis set single-point calculations, crystallographic data for 2b, 2c, 4, and 6 (CIF), and full citation for ref 30. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 284.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Takagi, N.; Nagase, S. Organometallics 2007, 26, 469.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Calculations; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1984.